

ALBERTO GABRIELE

READING POPULAR CULTURE IN VICTORIAN PRINT: *BELGRAVIA* AND SENSATIONALISM (Palgrave Macmillan, 2009) xvi + 275 pp.

Reviewed by Nikki Hessel.

As the tension between the title and the subtitle of his book suggests, Gabriele Alberto is torn between two competing projects: a study of a very broad theme emerging out of the complex and daunting terrain of Victorian publishing, and a study of a more refined theme in the periodical called *Belgravia*. It is probably impossible to reconcile two such different projects within a single work, and Gabriele's book, while producing some interesting insights, is not able to bridge the gap.

Following a short preface, an introductory chapter examines the meaning of sensationalism in the 1860s and 1870s and the methodological and theoretical approaches that underpin the book. Chapter One aims to bring these ideas to bear on the specific case of *Belgravia* by explaining the need for what Gabriele calls, in the chapter's subtitle, "a new intertextual reading of the periodical press." This chapter outlines the importance of reading *Belgravia* in terms of the reputation and concerns of its editor from 1866 to 1876: Mary Elizabeth Braddon, who was also the author of sensational novels. Chapters Two, Three and Four focus on the themes of fragmentation, anonymity, and advertising and commerce respectively, before a somewhat digressive (although interesting) chapter on sensationalism and the early history of film. The final chapter moves to the 1870s and 80s and examines Braddon's connections to sensational literature in France, before the book concludes with a comprehensive index of the contents of *Belgravia* during her stewardship.

While these angles all have merit, the book falls into the trap of trying to make an ostensibly narrow and focused topic speak to much wider cultural concerns. The reader actually comes away with very little information about the periodical *Belgravia* or its links with sensationalism. This is a shame, because studies of the sort that this book purports in part to be—close and careful readings of every issue of a single periodical title during an interesting period in its history—are extremely valuable to scholars in a range of different fields, many of whom are not going to read issue after issue themselves but are grateful that a colleague has approached the task so thoroughly. The appendix suggests that Gabriele has indeed been thorough in his research, but not enough of that effort is reflected in the finished product. Chapter Two is an important exception, and includes the best section of the book, a section in which the actual content of *Belgravia* is scrutinised for its commentary on sensationalism, particularly in the article “On the ‘Sensational’ in Literature and Art” contributed by George Augustus Sala in 1868, and in a very interesting discussion of the scientific content of the magazine. But in the chapter on anonymity, for example, there are no examples from *Belgravia*, while the chapter on advertising, although it does include examples from the periodical, does not do enough to make the case that *Belgravia*’s advertisements were significantly different from those in any other contemporary magazine. The chapter on early film-making similarly leaves the link to Braddon and *Belgravia* tenuous and frustratingly under-explored, although Gabriele has some very interesting things to say about the way in which periodicals and the new technology of the magic lantern shows fed off each other.

For instance, this chapter includes a marvellous pair of images, one of which is reproduced on the cover of the book, of the illustration which accompanied the

serialisation of Braddon's *Fenton's Quest* and the virtually identical scene from a magic lantern slide. The images depict the rescue of a woman from a burning building; her face is covered and it is unclear whether she is alive or dead. The original photographic slide appears to have inspired Louis Huard's illustration for the serial version of Braddon's novel in 1871, and Gabriele rightly points out that such overlaps demonstrate how "popular industry capitalized on scenes and narratives of realist inspiration" (120). More of these specific examples would have been extremely welcome.

The sixth and final chapter, however, once more swerves away from Braddon. Though it treats her success and influence in France, it does not do so in the context of her magazine, and it lengthily examines popular French novels without any explanation of how they connect to either the novelist or *Belgravia*.

The lack of evidence about *Belgravia's* content means that the reader is never convinced about the most plausible of Gabriele's arguments, and there are unfortunately also too many instances of unjustifiable claims about both the theoretical and methodological framework for the study. In this respect, the preface sets the tone for the book as a whole by asking far too much of the material. Sensible and interesting evaluations of Victorian periodicals are followed by rather grandiose claims about what we might learn from them. Gabriele suggests, for example, that novels from the period often end with the reassertion of traditional values, whatever sensational material the story might have included. "In the periodical press, however, it is the rest of the magazine to which the shaken and aroused reader is redirected and manipulated into accepting the structures of order that the fiction challenges. Can Victorian sensationalism, therefore, provide a model for the many meanings and uses of sensationalized and violent shocks in

pre— and post— World War II systems of government, from the violence of fascist Italy in the late teens, or the many countries that adopted it, to the ‘shock economics’ discussed by Naomi Klein in our contemporary context?” (xix). The first sentence of this quotation strikes the reader as valid, or at least worth considering; the second sentence produces incredulity. Similar questions have to be asked about the author’s claims to be using a “new” method for researching periodicals by approaching them intertextually; not only is intertextuality a well-established method in Victorian periodical studies, as exemplified in the work of eminent scholars such as Laurel Brake, but Gabriele’s research does not deliver on the promise of intertextuality because we are told so little about the magazine’s content or that of its competitors.

The theme of sensationalism is a worthwhile one to pursue, given Braddon’s status as one of the period’s foremost sensational novelists, and given that some interesting debates on the question of sensation played out in the periodical; as Gabriele cogently points out in Chapter One, *Belgravia* may have been “the only distinctively sensational literary magazine published during the sensation novel’s craze of the 1860s that theorized on the sensational as a category of industrial modernity and arranged its production accordingly” (22). Thus the magazine served Braddon as:

an extension of her popular persona of author of sensation novels, as the quotation in the front page of her magazine of two of her best-selling titles next to her name would imply. . . . [Her] interest lay not in defining once and for all a sensational formula, or, for that matter, an editorial one, but rather in marking the experience of modernity that sensationalism skillfully represented as an excitingly motley

one, at least at first glance. This is realized not only through the personal versions of sensationalism provided by scientists, cultural critics, and journalists who contributed their articles to the magazine, but, more ostensibly, by serializing up to three or four novels, mostly hers, in each issue of *Belgravia*. (23)

These are promising ideas, but unfortunately, the book becomes less and less a project about linking Braddon's credentials as a sensational author with the periodical debates about sensationalism, or establishing what it was about *Belgravia* that marked it out as the epicentre of these debates. The chapter on anonymity, for example, treats Braddon only in the context of one letter, written after her editorship had ended, requesting that some of her writing be published anonymously. Quite what this has to do with sensationalism—or indeed *Belgravia*—is unclear; the chapter seems to exist as an excuse to highlight this letter, which was previously unpublished. Likewise, the chapter on advertising shows with some worthwhile examples how apparently sensational language served to market products to Victorian consumers, but Gabriele never fully explains how *Belgravia*'s use of advertisements differed from that of other publications or contributed explicitly to the periodical's overall presentation of sensationalism; once more, then, Gabriele leaves his central point unclear. The same could be said of sensationalism in the book as whole. Even in the introduction, which is titled "The Cultural Trope of Sensationalism," Gabriele fails to explain just exactly what he means by this key term and how he plans to use it. Without a clear definition of what counts as sensational, and why, the book tends to attach sensationalism automatically to events such as war and trends in popular culture without much consideration of whether the specific instance

could actually be considered sensational. Moreover, Gabriele fails to recognize that awareness of links between sensationalism in the press and sensationalism in popular culture did not begin in the later decades of the nineteenth century and does not mark the period out as unique; from the very beginnings of newspapers and magazines, over a century earlier, these links had been debated and exploited.

In other words, only short, under-developed sections of this book actually deal with the ideas proposed in its title and outlined by its author as the focus of his work. This disjunction between a broad cultural study and a narrow textual analysis might be the result of (or, perhaps, might simply reflect) the book's lack of engagement with relevant scholarship. Gabriele relies rather heavily on Adorno, Foucault and (especially) Benjamin for his critical framework, and while the work of figures like these has some undoubted relevance to such a project, it is both dated and no substitute for the scholarship of those who work specifically on the history of reading, Victorian periodicals, or *Belgravia* itself. Gabriele has read these latter specialists, but their work is usually mentioned only in passing, without any real sense of how his own ideas draw from or deviate from theirs. In many instances, they are clumped together in sentences that refer to "Existing articles and book chapters dedicated to Braddon's *Belgravia*," (3) or suggest that this book is "Following the example of many historical excavations of nineteenth-century print culture that called for an intertextual reading of the fiction published in the periodical press..." (4). Which articles, book chapters, and historical excavations, the reader asks? These are usually listed in an accompanying footnote, which answers the basic bibliographical question but does not elucidate exactly what is

specifically new or different about Gabriele's work, nor provide an adequate acknowledgement of what has come before.

In numerous ways, the author has not been well-served by his publisher. There are many distracting typos and several peculiarities of expression that should have been dealt with by an editor, especially the tendency to refer to Braddon by her full name, quite unnecessarily, and the peculiar and anachronistic use of the word "Chunnel" in place of "Channel" in relation to the connections between English and French literature. Most significantly, an editor should have insisted on both a clearer explanation of the book's intentions and a more rigorous attempt to realise those intentions.

These weaknesses are disappointing, not least because the book has several excellent features, particularly when it sticks close to *Belgravia* itself. Gabriele's familiarity with continental European sources is extremely valuable, and opens up new lines of inquiry for scholarship on British periodicals. The appendix, with its index of the magazine for the years of Braddon's editorship, will be enormously helpful to other researchers, and demonstrates the thoroughness of the author's research. So too does the information about the global distribution networks of the magazine, although the relevance of this aspect of the study to the theme of sensationalism is unclear. These strong sections point to the valuable study of this fascinating period in *Belgravia*'s history that might have emerged in this book if it had not tried to make so many untenable connections, if there were more *Belgravia* and less Benjamin.

[Nikki Hessel is Senior Lecturer in Journalism Studies, Massey University.](#)